

Office of Air & Radiation

Summary of Comments Received and Responses

Comments were received from the states and state and tribal organizations listed below. In general, the commenters expressed concern about the funding cuts proposed in the President's 2008 Budget, and commented on policy, regulatory, and implementation issues related to programs such as ozone, PM_{2.5}, regional haze, air toxics, mercury, and mobile sources. While some changes were made to the guidance document as a direct result of comments, many commenters raised complicated policy issues that go beyond what is in the guidance. It was not possible to fully consider these complex comments and develop thoughtful responses or discuss them with the commenters within the guidance production schedule. Therefore, over the next several weeks, OAR will: 1) prepare a responses-to-comments document that responds to the majority of comments received, and, 2) prepare written responses or arrange to have discussions with individual commenters on the particular issues that they have raised.

List of Commentors

Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
California Air Pollution Control Officer's Association
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Metro 4 / SESARM
National Association of Clean Air Agencies (NACAA)
National Tribal Air Association
New England Commissioners (CT, MA, ME, NH, RI, VT)
Oregon
States Environmental Results Consortium
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
EPA Region 5
EPA Region 6